



Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

**Bro Diehl, my apologies for being so harsh on you...**

**Randy Ferreira** <rferreira@bluerocpremier.com>  
To: Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>  
Cc: Brandon Diehl <bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org>

Sun, Mar 13, 2022 at 3:39 PM

Gordon

Hope you're doing well

Let's please move on from this and there is no need to copy all those people on the prior email chain.

We all have Gods work to do and it's a better use of all our time to do so

Keep the faith Brother

Love ya

**Randy X. Ferreira, CPM, LREB**

Principal/CEO

*Licensed Real Estate Broker*

**Blue Roc Premier**

**3917 Riga Blvd.**

**Tampa, FL. 33619**

**Phone: 813-252-3149**

**[www.bluerocpremier.com](http://www.bluerocpremier.com)**



***“He grants treasure of common sense to the honest and is a shield to those with integrity” Proverbs 2:7***


---

**From:** Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 13, 2022 10:01 AM  
**To:** Brandon Diehl <bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org>; info@citycentralchurch.org  
**Cc:** Youman's Praise & Worship Center <TheCGM18@gmail.com>; rferreira@bluerockpartnersllc.com; Randy Ferreira <rferreira@bluerockpremier.com>; BlueRockRealty@yahoo.com; rocmanxf@gmail.com; rocman@tampabay.rr.com; Dr. Charlie Dyer <charles.dyer@moody.edu>; michael.rydelnik@moody.edu; mark.jobe@moody.edu; Gww1210@aol.com; Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Bro Diehl, my apologies for being so harsh on you...

Bro Diehl, my apologies for being so harsh on you in my reply earlier.

I think there was a misunderstanding, and I didn't handle it well.

All I said was truthful, and I tried to respond in love, but I'm human and have emotions, and likely fell short of the high mark.

As a point of order, Wingman Nation asked me to remove them from reply to all as Randy and Pastor Jay aren't any longer reachable at those email addresses.

All I was asking was whether anyone has any objections to me testifying to all of the feedback from numerous theologians as I recall. Nothing more complex than that, as Pastor Steve Davis has said (I hope he is well; haven't heard from him in years.)

Apparently, my memory serves me correctly, else someone would have corrected me.

I hope all is well. I'm in church now, and can't speak in person, but we are commanded to be angry (I was), but sin not, and to not let the sun (The Son would also be correct) to set on your wrath. And, if in prayer, Matt 5:23-24, and you recall an offense to your brother, likely tho not certain here, to make right before offering prayers, gift, etc. to God.

Anyhow, as I'm in Sunday School as I speak, so this applies; perhaps you already gave me what I requested, namely to make sure those whom I quote (numerous theologians) have no objections to being cited, quoted, and written about as I advance and preach the Gospel. That said, additional feedback I'd welcome but not required.

In any event, thank you for responding promptly last week. Be blessed in our Lord Jesus,

Gordon

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>  
**Date:** Fri, Mar 11, 2022, 1:24 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Randy, I'd like to ask Pastor Jay if I can credit him for both a public sermon and private theological feedback...  
**To:** Brandon Diehl <bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org>  
**Cc:** <info@citycentralchurch.org>, Youman's Praise & Worship Center <TheCGM18@gmail.com>, <rferreira@bluerockpartnersllc.com>, <rferreira@bluerockpremier.com>, <info@wingmannation.com>, <BlueRockRealty@yahoo.com>, <rocmanxf@gmail.com>, <rocman@tampabay.rr.com>, Gww1210@aol.com <gww1210@aol.com>, Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>, Dr. Charlie Dyer <charles.dyer@moody.edu>, <michael.rydelnik@moody.edu>, <mark.jobe@moody.edu>

Legally, I could attribute all persons I've heard, and don't need any blessings, because my recollections are correct. Telling the truth is neither illegal nor immoral.

I almost didn't ask for any participation because it could be used as a snafu or tool of the devil to stifle study and evangelism of the Word, knowing that I opened thus door.

Both Biblically and legally, I don't need a blessing or assent to tell the truth when, as news reporter or writer or author, I report on events.

But, as a courtesy, because I'm a Christian, I asked.

Secondly, due to the baby killing under the guise of increasing heavenly odds, I have a mandate to oppose this: See Appendix-A in my book, which documents parents killing children to "send them to heaven." This is a real phenomenon.

As pro-life Christians, Brandon, we must oppose murder, and I do that by offering Biblical reasons why killing children to send them to heaven.

While, of course, deceased children are in heaven for the time being, their eternal fate is decided according to scripture: free will exercise of faith or Free Will choice not to.

As a matter of record, Dr. Dennis really did preach the sermon discussed in chapter 8, Addressing One Pastor's Concerns, and if you are suggesting to me I either lie or conceal the truth, I am offended: #1. I am not a liar, #2. secondly concealing truth is equivalent to lying, and third, #3. it is an industry standard (and bonus #4. morally required) to properly attribute sources.

Since I have no printed record of the sermon or comments discussed, to document my claim, I can not include that for my readers (who, since my book published on Amazon in 2013) have given it consistently high marks: See attached PDF draft, or here for current 7th edition.

<https://www.amazon.com/When-Babies-Die-Where-Full-color-ebook/dp/B008J8RTOK/>

We are on the honour system.

Granted, in hindsight, I should have had a printed copy of his sermon, which I quote, and audio of his comments we spoke after the sermon at a Wingman Mens meeting.

But I didn't.

Of all people I've ever met, Pastor Jay is the last person I would expect to oppose me simply telling the truth of what heard and saw.

If he does not offer his blessings for me, simply, to tell the truth, this is a shift in the spiritual realm that caught me off guard.

For the record:

1) he really was the preacher who preached that sermon. That is the truth.

2) Secondly, when we spoke afterwards, and I told him that, "technically," he was correct (babies who are deceased really probably \*do\* go to heaven for the time being), but that \*eternal\* fate and faith that God asks can not be done without Free Will -- and that God would not ask the impossible, and that I felt his sermon, while not lying, was nonetheless misleading re the heavenly fate matter (the important thing), in response I very vividly recall, Mr. Diehl, that Pastor Jay really did tell me that he believed that, at some point (though not known exactly) God would afford the infants Free Will, like he did for both angels (one third of whom exercised it in The Luciferian Rebellion in heaven), and humans (as we all see). He really said this as well.

I lie not.

Now, granted, maybe his views have changed, and any statements he has will be reported on accurately when I get around to updating my book, an excruciating and tedious process.

Now, here is why this is so important:

First, correct attribution is the norm, and to cover up (or ask or pressure others to) is inappropriate in any setting.

Secondly, while his sermon (and many others by many preachers) technically are not lying, as the deceased infants indeed likely go to heaven for the time being, the fact remains, Mr. Diehl, that listeners (wrongly) get the impression that "Baby Universalism" is correct theology. Not only is universalist theology incorrect (see my draft 8th revision, attached where I quote Sproul, Skelton, Dyer, and numerous other theologians, who solidly reject universalist theology), more importantly, however, it tempts parents to kill children to "Send them to heaven."

Look closely at the comments by FOCUS ON THE FAMILY, whom I quote in my 8th draft: They are wise enough to see this danger!

Parents (often, actually) say just this: Well, yes, killing is murder, but eternal fate is more important than temporal fate, and bloodshed ensues.

While many theologians are now lining up on the side of opposition to Baby Universalism, believe it or not, Dr. Dennis' reply to me (**Pastor Jay, do you still hold thus view?**) was actually the best answer (even beating out my answers):

He told me, and I think I am quoting him correctly, Brandon, that we don't know the details (and favored no theory in particular, given the Bible has little to say about what **\*\*does\*\*** happen), that nonetheless, he rejected Universalism, and believed that those children would-- at some point-- be afforded volition and an opportunity to chose and have Free Will. This surprised me, which is why it sticks out in my memory: Most Southern Baptist preachers stick dogmatically to everyone else having choice (angels, humans , etc.) But not babies.

First, since he really said what I recall, it would be immoral to suggest I not testify to what I recall.

Secondly, and more importantly, Brandon, since Pastor Jay (and other theologians whom I quote on this point of exegesis) are correct,, then when parents are trying to kill the children (handicapped, live in poverty, etc) to "send them to heaven," we can offer a Biblically solid response: Killing them WILL send them to heaven... FOR THE TIME BEING...yes, but the eternal fate odds will be NEITHER INCREASES NOR DECREASED, so don't think it's helpful to kill thr children.

Now that you got me on the subject, I recall one other thing Dr. Jay Dennis told me that evening: He said that parents CAN NOT "force God's hand" or "make the Maker" accept/reject ANY person (child or otherwise).

Pastor Jay is correct.

I am deeply offended that ANYONE would even IMPLY that I either lie or, as here, cover up the truth and not testify to what I've heard or seen!!

I am not sure what, precisely, I'll do given the gravity and complexity here, but dark spiritual forces definitely want truth covered, hidden, not preached or proclaimed...

So, I can't say I will report the Truth, whole truth, and nothing but.

I can't say that I won't.

In the meantime, Pastor Jay (or any theologians in the Cc line) are welcome to weigh in (and I note, many already have) or modify their public statements:

While I didn't think Dr. Dyer's or Dr. Rydelnik's expositions were 100% correct or complete, nonetheless, both weighed in (and I don't really feel the need to ask you for further feedback, unless you simply want to).

Lastly, given the complexity of updating a book, even in "perfect conditions," I am very slow, so I make \*no\* promises at all as to being able to speedily update my book. But, if I do, I hope to be complete, correct, and respectful to all parties-- agree or disagree on theology.

I am a Christian first, and an author, writer, blogger, reporter, etc... second.

Pastor Jay is welcome to continue to hold his prior view as I recall, or even change his view. Either is OK, and I will accurately report all doings.

As an ambassador and legal representative of SOVEREIGN KING JESUS, The only perfect role model and example (Heb 2:14-18, John 13:15, I pet 2:21), and very God (Gen 1, John 1, Col 1:15-20, Heb 1), I write, and I am,

Sincerely,

Gordon Wayne Watts

II COR 5:20 Now then we are ambassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us: we pray you in Christ's stead, be ye reconciled to God.

On Fri, Mar 11, 2022, 9:08 AM Brandon Diehl <[bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org](mailto:bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org)> wrote:

Good Morning Gordon.

Sorry for the miscue. But at this time Pastor Jay is not going to offer his blessing. Thank you agin for reaching out.

Brandon

On Mar 10, 2022, at 12:00 PM, Gordon Watts <[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)> wrote:

No, you misunderstand me.

I'm not asking for either endorsement nor condemnation of any book or otherwise.

But rather his blessings to correctly give attribution to what he said, no more.

Also, I spoke to my pastor, Dr. Joe Kelley, who said he was friends with pastor Jay. He would probably want me to say hello.

Gordon

On Thu, Mar 10, 2022, 11:52 AM [bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org](mailto:bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org) [bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org](mailto:bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org) <[bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org](mailto:bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org)> wrote:

Gordon,

I just wanted to circle back with you. At this time Pastor Jay is not providing any endorsements. Thanks for reaching out.

By His Grace Only,

Brandon Diehl

On March 9, 2022 at 2:34 PM Gordon Watts <[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)> wrote:

Thank you.

Gordon

On Wed, Mar 9, 2022, 1:30 PM Brandon Diehl <[bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org](mailto:bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org)> wrote:

Gordon.

My apologies. I hit the reply button instead of the forward button. I sent it to Jay. I will follow-up with him and make sure he sees it. Talk to you soon.

Brandon

On Mar 9, 2022, at 1:23 PM, Gordon Watts <[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)> wrote:

Yes, Brandon, I did see it: I'm m the one who sent it to your church to ask Pastor Jay, a long time friend, if he would not mind me attaching correct attribution to both of his statements, one a public sermon, and another, his private comments on the exegesis of these passages, with which I agree.

I emailed Randy Ferreira, a cc copied your church, hoping one or both would pass along my query.

Gordon

On Wed, Mar 9, 2022, 12:47 PM Brandon Diehl <[bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org](mailto:bdiehl@citycentralchurch.org)> wrote:

FYI. Did you see this already?

On Mar 4, 2022, at 12:34 PM, Gordon Watts <[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)> wrote:

**SUBJECT: Randy, I'd like to ask Pastor Jay if I can credit him for both a public sermon and private theological feedback...**

Randy, I want to ask Pastor Jay his blessings for 2 proposed updates to my book on infant soteriology. First, he is mentioned anonymously in one chapter regarding a sermon he wrote, and my responses to it. (Chapter 8 in my book.) I'd like to credit him by name. Secondly, when I spoke to Pastor Jay at Wingman once a long time ago, and told him that, yes, dead babies are indeed in heaven for the time being, but he agreed with me: Like everyone else, they can't get permanent admission unless and until they exercise faith in Jesus, he agreed with me, when we spoke privately, that while we don't know the time/place details, still, he agreed with me and went on to say that he believed God would give those children a free will chance (like both angels and us adult humans have) to make a choice. He is correct, because if God refused this, He'd be less than fair as a Judge, and would deny Due Process and Equal Protection. God is the most fair Judge. Since Pastor Jay really said this (and since a "fair chance to choose" is accepted by many theologians, some quoted in my book), I'd like to quote him: Without this basic Biblical truth of Fairness, parents incorrectly think that killing the kids to send them to heaven increases eternal odds: **It (baby killing to "send them to heaven") neither increases nor decreases (God's gonna give babies a fair shake in ALL cases, both the quick and the dead), and thus this theological truth can save lives. For that reason, I'd like his blessings to quote him.** Lastly, I'm going to try and send you a copy of my book, and the 8th revision draft, as it may prove helpful. Thanks if you can get in touch with Pastor Jay and get his blessings here. He might even study my book and preach a sermon series on it, like how he did with physical health after I asked him to look into that. I asked for a sermon, and he gave us more than just 1 sermon remember? Thanks. Gordon

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gordon Watts** <[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)>  
Date: Thu, Mar 3, 2022, 3:19 PM  
Subject: Re: Resend: Rene @frontdesk -- 7 Short, easy questions (most are Yes/No)  
To: Rene O'Reilly <[roreilly@crossbridgeboca.org](mailto:roreilly@crossbridgeboca.org)>  
Cc: [Gww1210@aol.com](mailto:Gww1210@aol.com) <[gww1210@aol.com](mailto:gww1210@aol.com)>, Gordon Watts <[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)>

(-: You're welcome, and thank you. :-)

On Thu, Mar 3, 2022, 2:48 PM Rene O'Reilly <[roreilly@crossbridgeboca.org](mailto:roreilly@crossbridgeboca.org)> wrote:

Thanks. I forwarded this on to Bob.

Blessings,

Rene

Irene (Rene) O'Reilly

Office Administrator

Crossbridge Church

10101 Judge Winikoff Road

Boca Raton, FL 33428

Direct Line 561-483-2375

Mobile 518-257-2597

[roreilly@crossbridgeboca.org](mailto:roreilly@crossbridgeboca.org)

 Logo Description automatically generated

---

**From:** Gordon Watts  
<[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** Thursday, March 3,  
2022 at 12:05 PM  
**To:** Info Email  
<[info@crossbridgeboca.org](mailto:info@crossbridgeboca.org)>  
**Cc:** [Gww1210@aol.com](mailto:Gww1210@aol.com)  
<[gww1210@aol.com](mailto:gww1210@aol.com)>,  
Gordon Watts  
<[gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:gww1210@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Resend: Rene  
@frontdesk -- 7 Short, easy  
questions (most are Yes/No)

Resend: Rene @frontdesk -- 7  
Short, easy questions (most are  
Yes/No)

Thanks for speaking with me this morning. Please find below the questions that I would like to ask brother Bob, the Sunday School teacher who had questions about "what happens to young people, those that say -- say -- in the womb that are aborted, and young children that don't have an opportunity to respond to the gospel message," who are under the age of accountability when they pass on and die.

Three things, though, I want to say:

First, since Bob gets asked this question, himself, when he's a leader in, say, his Men's group or a Sunday School class, I hope that my research is helpful in answering his questions. It may be (I don't know, but I am making a guess here), it may be that I am the most knowledgeable expert on the subject matter on infant soteriology. Whether that's true or not, do be assured that the elderly Baptist preacher, Rev. Jack Wellman, who wrote the forward to my book, changed his mind on the matter



after reading my book, and was kind enough to let me put his "Amazon book review" in a later edition of my book as a forward.

Secondly, I had hoped to talk by phone with brother Zucarro before emailing him my questions, so he could connect a name, face, and voice, and be assured that, while I'm human, and am tackling a VERY difficultly theological matter (both its complexity as well as the emotional and spiritual aspects of losing a child), to assure him that I hope to be both theologically pure AND respectful to all parties in my news reporting, here, and hermeneutic exegesis on this, nonetheless, I prayed that God would be with him and use my prior, shorter, email to make a good first impression. While I am not including Dr. Rydelnik and hi staff in my private email to you, nonetheless, as Jesus tells us, all that is hidden will eventually be revealed, and, while I have a few disagreements (both theologically and concerning his prior refusals to try to do like all others & weigh in), nonetheless, ALL people have value in God's eyes, and it is incumbent upon all of us to show God's love and proper respect to all at all times.

Lastly, when we spoke by phone, you told me that your IT rules prohibited receiving email attachments for security reasons, and, in compliance with ROMANS 13 on authority, I will comply with your request. In order to help you all see all the research I've compiled out there, you may purchase a copy of my book via Amazon, etc., but, you may obtain a free copy online, here, at one of these webpages, which include excerpts from a message of a neighbour who had an abortion, and who reported to me that my book helped lead her to Christ, and seek forgiveness and relationship with God through Jesus:

\*\* [https://GordonWatts.com/WhenBabiesDie\\_AMAZON/](https://GordonWatts.com/WhenBabiesDie_AMAZON/)

or:

\*\* [https://GordonWayneWatts.com/WhenBabiesDie\\_AMAZON/](https://GordonWayneWatts.com/WhenBabiesDie_AMAZON/)

You may read, print out, and share with friends privately all you like, but I respectfully ask you to please do \*not\* share this link online or post it

Gmail - Bro Diehl, my apologies for being so harsh on you...

publicly anywhere: There are copyright constraints with which I must comply. Please see below for the 7 short questions I'd like to ask brother Bob (and others may weigh in too, so long as it's not an inordinately large number). Thank you, in advance,

Gordon

Crossbridge Church  
10101 Judge Winikoff Rd,  
Boca Raton, FL 33428  
(561) 483-4228

Dear Crossbridge Church:

I'm Gordon Watts, a pro-life Christian blogger, Conservative columnist on occasion, and the author of a well-liked pro-life book on infant soteriology, that is, a book exploring this point of theology, and using exegesis and hermeneutic study of Scripture to refute universalist views which, as documented in Appendix-A of my book, have tragically resulted in the death of many children and infants.

I'd like to interview Bob Zuccaro, a member of your church and Sunday School teacher, who was in the live audience this past Sat. 2-28-2022, and (with a cool 😎 Bronx accent!) asked Dr. Michael Rydelnik what happened to babies and children who die before the age of accountability:

LINK:  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nBd9Y0M53Co&t=11s>

LINK: <https://www.facebook.com/GordonWayneWatts/videos/1025206048082139/>

LINK: <https://www.Facebook.com/GordonWayneWatts/posts/10221662344332812/>

#### QUESTIONS

No matter your answer, even if you don't know, that's ok; you can change your answer later, and I will paint all feedback and people with

respect and in the most positive light, as Christ asks us to treat others: You can look at the free copy of my book (both current revision 7th edition and draft for 8th revision, but please don't post online: copyright issues).

See attached PDF copies; [[Edit Addendum: I've detached them per your request; see links above]] you do not need to purchase a copy, but here is the most current revision:

My pro-life book on infant soteriology:

"When Babies Die: Where do they go?," by Gordon Wayne Watts

LINK:

<https://www.amazon.com/When-Babies-Die-Where-Full-color-ebook/dp/B008J8RTOK>

LINK:

<https://www.booksamillion.com/p/Babies-Die/MR-Gordon-Wayne-Watts/9781478208839?id=5448103442036>

LINK: <https://www.bol.com/nl/nl/p/when-babies-die/9200000015559950/>

LINK: [https://www.goodreads.com/author/show/7689093.Gordon\\_Wayne\\_Watts](https://www.goodreads.com/author/show/7689093.Gordon_Wayne_Watts)

You are welcome to ask others for help here, and, in fact, more feedback is welcome, say, Bob's feedback, and thoughts from his pastor or 1-2 others.

\*\*\* (#1.) Do you agree with the 3 answers Dr. Rydelnik gave? ((a)) He admits that we don't know for sure, ((b)) That God will always be fair, and ((c)) That King David's comment about going to his dead son might've meant that David thought he'd go to the grave, instead of going to heaven, to see the child.) -- YES or NO or I DON'T KNOW (Me, personally, I agree with all 3 of Professor Rydelnik's answers, and also appreciate that he was sensitive to the fact you may have had a reason for asking that involved loss of a baby; as it turns out, your reasons for asking were because \*you\* were asked by members of the Sunday School classes you teach, and hopefully, my email here can help you answer their questions.)

\*\*\* (#2.) Were aware of the Millennial (Rev.20:4) or "Rest of the Dead" (Rev.20:5) theories, both of which have many theologians who support either or both of these positions? YES or NO or I DON'T KNOW

\*\*\* (#3.) If you knew that these positions could possibly be true (a chance for the unborn child to hear the gospel, and have Free Will in, say, the millennium (like angels had free will in heaven, or humans, on earth), and that the "Universalism" view (the common view that "all babies go to heaven forever," and not just for the time being awaiting reuniting with parents in the millennium), would you agree that this exegesis could be used to persuade parents of handicapped children (or those in high crime areas, for example) to NOT kill their children to "send them to heaven?" YES or NO or I DON'T KNOW

(I.e., if the Rev.20:4 or 20:5 theories could be Biblically permitted, and killing the child would neither increase nor decrease the "eternal" odds, then a "mercy killing" would not gain anything and thus make these arguments USEFUL in saving unborn lives.) YES or NO or I DON'T KNOW

\*\*\* (#4.) If you found out that Dr. Rydelnik knew of these alternative theories (which have more Biblical support than the forbidden universalist view -- which tempts parents to kill children to send them to heaven, and is documented to do so), and even agreed with one of these theories -- but did not tell you this, when he answered you, would you agree he was justified in withholding this information from you ? YES or NO or I DON'T KNOW

TIPS for #4: Possible reasons he could have withheld this info might include:

1.) him being confused on a deep topic,

2.) Time constraints (maybe Dr. Rydelnik thought that there wasn't enough time to properly address these various theories in a live audience show) or

3.) possibly he was afraid it might offend some people to say that their deceased infant could enter the millennium and get the gift of Free Will / volition -- like ALL of us had -- and still, at the end of the Millennium, go in with Satan in Rev. 20:7--9, and join the rebellion, and still end up lost. Possible reasons he should NOT have withheld this information include the following:

1.) Jesus frowns upon Pharisees who make themselves a barrier or stumbling block to sheep seeking Jesus'es voice, or

2.) This study addresses a Word of exegesis that might help give arguments why "mercy killings" do NOT change eternal heaven probability -- as killing the child send them to heaven "for the time being," but not "eternally unless they, subsequently, accept Jesus. (I.e., withholding a "life saving" exegesis is not a good thing.)

\*\*\* (#5.) If God is a fair judge, would he not grant Due Process eg Free Will to ALL -- not just adults and angels, but also babies? YES or NO or I DON'T KNOW

Essay Question, #6: Since I'm a Christian and do not want to offend or insult Dr. Rydelnik for refusing to take my similar millennial question (even tho he took your "open ended" question), how should I describe his actions in my book -- where I must tell the truth, but also must do so in love? -- YOUR ANSWER:...

Essay Question, #7: Why do you think that, on occasion, some Sunday school classes or call-in shows will "push" the Biblically forbidden universalist view (all babies go to heaven forever, and have NO free will, but are forced to believe like robots), but be a "cancel culture" roadblock stumbling block to "cancel out" any/all discussion on the other, more Biblically-permitted theories? (Note: This phenomenon is not common, but does happen on occasion.) -- YOUR ANSWER:...

SCRATCH THAT -- After talking with my dear mother, who is older and wiser than me, she said to rephrase it as a true statement and ask for a solution, not an explanation; so, here goes nothing!..

revised -- Essay Question, #7: It is a truthful fact that, on occasion, some Sunday school classes or call-in shows will "push" the Biblically forbidden universalist view (all babies go to heaven forever, and have NO free will, but are forced to believe like robots), but be a "cancel culture" roadblock stumbling block to "cancel out" any/all discussion on the other, more Biblically-permitted theories? (Note: This phenomenon is not common, but does happen on occasion.) - QUESTION: How should we, as Christians, respond to this spiritual resistance without compromising either Truth or Love? YOUR ANSWER:...

Any questions or need help, don't hesitate to call me.

P.S.: Besides the unusual dream described in my book, just as I was updating this book (1st time since Feb 2013, nine years to the month, a neighbor who had an abortion told me that my book helped her come to God and seek forgiveness, which was freaked me out as a coincidence, or message from God; see the 4 screenshots from Britany White, a neighbor from my old Alicia Rd, Lakeland address.

Thank you,

Gordon

Pro-Life credentials:

I nearly saved Terri Schiavo's life in my court filings before the Fla. Supreme Court:

I'm "the" Gordon Wayne Watts who almost won the legendary Terri Schiavo case all by myself, losing 4-3 in the Fla. Supreme Court, doing better even than former Fla. Gov. Jeb Bush, who lost 7-0 when Bush tried to win: Ask Google "What role did Gordon Wayne Watts play in the Terri Schiavo issue," or, perhaps, see the sources cited in <https://ContractWithAmerica2.com/#staff> ARCHIVES: <https://Archive.vn/YFqrz#staff> AND: <http://Web.Archive.org/web/>

Gmail - Bro Diehl, my apologies for being so harsh on you...

[20220204092421/https://contractwithamerica2.com/#staff](https://20220204092421/contractwithamerica2.com/#staff)

PRIMARY SOURCES: \*\* In Re: GORDON WAYNE WATTS (as next friend of THERESA MARIE 'TERRI' SCHIAVO), No. SC03-2420 (Fla. Feb.23, 2005), denied 4-3 on rehearing. (Watts got 42.7% of his panel) <https://FloridaSupremeCourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2005/2/03-2420reh.pdf>

\*\* In Re: JEB BUSH, GOVERNOR OF FLORIDA, ET AL. v. MICHAEL SCHIAVO, GUARDIAN: THERESA SCHIAVO, No. SC04-925 (Fla. Oct.21, 2004), denied 7-0 on rehearing. (Bush got 0.0% of his panel before the same court) <https://FloridaSupremeCourt.org/clerk/dispositions/2004/10/04-925reh.pdf>

Schiavo ex rel. Schindler v. Schiavo ex rel. Schiavo, 403 F.3d 1223, 2005 WL 648897 (11th Cir. Mar.23, 2005), denied 2-1 on appeal. (Terri Schiavo's own blood family only got 33.3% of their panel on the Federal Appeals level) \*\* <https://Media.CA11.UsCourts.gov/opinions/pub/files/200511556.pdf>

See also: <https://www.prweb.com/releases/2014/12/prweb12361433.htm> Or: <https://archive.vn/IIH9I>

Or: <https://web.archive.org/web/20180906194803/https://www.PRWeb.com/releases/2014/12/prweb12361433.htm>

My pro-life book on infant soteriology:

"When Babies Die: Where do they go?," by Gordon Wayne Watts

LINK: <https://www.amazon.com/When-Babies-Die-Where-Full-color-ebook/dp/B008J8RTOK>

LINK: <https://www.booksamillion.com/p/Babies-Die/MR-Gordon-Wayne-Watts/9781478208839?id=5448103442036>

LINK: <https://www.bol.com/nl/nl/p/when-babies-die/9200000015559950/>

LINK: [https://www.goodreads.com/author/show/7689093.Gordon\\_Wayne\\_Watts](https://www.goodreads.com/author/show/7689093.Gordon_Wayne_Watts)

Pro-Marriage credentials:

Gmail - Bro Diehl, my apologies for being so harsh on you...

I defended the Biblical definition of marriage at the 11th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals: See 01/06/2015 ORDER: Hon. Beverly B. Martin, UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE: "ORDER: Motion for Leave to File Out of Time filed by Not Party Anthony Citro is DENIED. [7355890-2]; Motion for leave to file amicus brief filed by Not Party Anthony Citro is DENIED. [7343975-2]; Motion for Leave to File Out of Time amended amicus brief filed by Amicus Curiae Gordon Wayne Watts is GRANTED. [7348496-2] BBM [14-14061, 14-14066]"

\* <https://gordonwatts.com/DOCKET-GayMarriageCase.html>

\* <https://gordonwaynewatts.com/DOCKET-GayMarriageCase.html>

\* <https://archive.vn/5YKAc>

\* <https://web.archive.org/web/20181121192659/https://GordonWatts.com/DOCKET-GayMarriageCase.html>

Writer credentials -- One of my guest columns, here:

Fiscally Conservative columnist opposing excessive taxation, spending:

Besides that, see some of my published columns, such as "Polk Perspective: Offer relief for taxes dressed up as 'loans'," By Gordon Wayne Watts, Guest columnist, The Ledger, November 19, 2019,

\* <https://www.theledger.com/story/opinion/columns/2019/11/19/polk-perspective-offer-relief-for-taxes-dressed-up-as-loans/2262933007/> ARCHIVES: <https://Archive.vn/2gdEW> AND: <https://ContractWithAmerica2.com/TheLedger-Online-PDF-FairUse-cache-WATTS-GuestColumn-Tue19Nov2019.pdf>

Writer credentials, here:

Nat'l Dir, CONTRACT WITH AMERICA: PART II, and Editor-in-Chief, The Register:

\* <https://GordonWatts.com> and

\* <https://GordonWayneWatts.com>

\* <https://ContractWithAmerica2.com>

Writer credentials -- my news coverage, here:



Gmail - Bro Diehl, my apologies for being so harsh on you...

More-recent news coverage at \*  
<https://GordonWatts.com/#GOP> Or:  
\* [https://GordonWayneWatts.com/#](https://GordonWayneWatts.com/#GOP)  
GOP documents "pretty convincing"  
evidence that it was my press  
inquiry to Sen. Josh Hawley (R-MO)  
which "pushed him over the edge,"  
causing him to sign on as a  
cosponsor to S.2598, which would  
fix a flaw in bankruptcy law that  
violates both the US Constitution  
and Jesus'es golden rule.

--

Gordon Wayne Watts, editor-in-  
chief, The Register

[www.GordonWayneWatts.com](http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com) /  
[www.GordonWatts.com](http://www.GordonWatts.com)

National Director, CONTRACT  
WITH AMERICA: PART II(TM)

<https://ContractWithAmerica2.com>

ALWAYS FAITHFUL - To God

BS, The Florida State University,  
double major with honours:  
Biological & Chemical Sciences

AS, United Electronics Institute,  
VALEDICTORIAN

\* [https://GordonWatts.com/](https://GordonWatts.com/education)  
[education](https://GordonWatts.com/education)

\* [https://GordonWayneWatts.com/](https://GordonWayneWatts.com/education)  
[education](https://GordonWayneWatts.com/education)

2046 Pleasant Acre Drive, Plant  
City, FL 33801-2113

Home: (863) 687-6141 ; Cell: (863)  
688-9880

See also:  
[http://Gordon\\_Watts.Tripod.](http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html)  
[com/consumer.html](http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html)

[Gww1210@aol.com](mailto:Gww1210@aol.com) ;  
[Gww1210@gmail.com](mailto:Gww1210@gmail.com)

Truth is the strongest, most stable  
force in the Universe

Truth doesn't change because you  
disbelieve it

TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of  
tyrants

[www.GordonWayneWatts.com](http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com) /  
[www.GordonWatts.com](http://www.GordonWatts.com)

Get Truth.

"First, they [Nazis] came for the  
Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew.  
Then they came for the  
Communists. I was silent. I was not  
a Communist. Then they came for  
the trade unionists. I was silent. I

was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me." (Martin Niemöller, given credit for a quotation in The Harper Religious and Inspirational Quotation Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper (New York: Harper & Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17, of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fornier, Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.

Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press, and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, & other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us - so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them - due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: "Speak up now or forever hold your peace!"-GWW

<image001.png><WATTS\_7th-printing\_WhenBabiesDie.pdf><WATTS\_21-Feb2013-cover.pdf><WATTS\_8th-printing\_DRAFT\_WhenBabiesDie.pdf>